#### 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED Case No. 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD 11 THERAPIES PRODUCTS LIABILITY 12 LITIGATION [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. \_\_\_ 13 As to All Member Cases 14 SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER REGARDING MASTER 15 **CONSOLIDATED ANSWERS** 16 AND SERVICE OF PROCESS 17 Judge: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia 18 Magistrate: Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin 19 20 On December 2, 2013, this Court issued an Order Governing Filing of Master 21 Consolidated Complaint, Short Form Complaint, and Master Consolidated Answer(s), 22 which established procedures for the filing of a Master Consolidated Complaint, a 23 form Short Form Complaint, and Master Consolidated Answers. At that time, the 24 Plaintiffs filed and the Court approved a Master Consolidated Complaint and Short 25 Form Complaint. The Defendants - Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC ("Amylin"), Eli 26 Lilly and Company ("Lilly"), Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. ("Merck") and Novo 27 Nordisk Inc. ("Novo") – were instructed to file Master Consolidated Answers by

December 31, 2013. On or before December 31, 2013, each of the aforementioned

PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO.

Case No 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD

28

Defendants filed a Master Answer. This Order sets out further instruction and procedures regarding the adoption and use of those Master Answers and service of Complaints.

#### I. ADOPTION OF MASTER ANSWERS.

The Court finds that each of the Master Answers is suitable for adoption and incorporation by reference in response to lawsuits filed by plaintiffs whose pancreatic cancer claims are filed in, transferred to, or removed to this Court and made part of MDL No. 2452.

Each Master Answer filed by Defendants Amylin, Lilly, Merck or Novo is, by this Order, deemed to be adopted in every case that is filed in, transferred to, or removed to this Court and made part of MDL No. 2452 which names that Defendant as a party. No further action on the part of Defendants Amylin, Lilly, Merck or Novo is required in order for their respective Master Answers to become operative.

#### II. APPLICATION OF MASTER ANSWERS.

The Master Answers filed by Defendants Amylin, Lilly, Merck and Novo are, by this Order, deemed the Answer to all properly served complaints, whether Short Form or otherwise, in any case now pending and in cases subsequently transferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, or filed directly in this Court and treated as part of this proceeding. Amylin, Lilly, Merck and Novo are relieved of the obligation to file any further Answer to any complaint not yet answered and/or any complaint in a case subsequently transferred to or consolidated with MDL No. 2452 unless otherwise ordered by this Court. For cases that do not utilize the Master Consolidated Complaint, the Defendants' Master Answers will be deemed the answer to those allegations in such complaints that correspond to the allegations of the Master Consolidated Complaint, and will be deemed a denial of any allegations not contained in the Master Consolidated Complaint.

The adoption of the Master Answers in every case is without prejudice to any Defendant later moving to dismiss, asserting any affirmative defenses, or otherwise

challenging the sufficiency of any claim or cause of action in any complaint under the applicable state's law, including any basis permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and from filing and amended Answer to specifically address any individual complaints described below.

#### III. SERVICE OF PROCESS.

The process for the acceptance of service in this Order relates solely to Amylin, Lilly, Merck and Novo and no other defendant(s), and nothing herein is intended to modify the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure with regard to effecting service on any other defendant(s). It specifically does not apply to any corporate affiliate or partner of Amylin, Lilly, Merck or Novo.

Provided that the Complaint is among those authorized to be included in this MDL proceeding, including that the injuries alleged in a Complaint are among those approved by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for inclusion in this MDL, each of Amylin, Lilly, Merck and Novo agrees, without waiver of any defenses, to accept service of process pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d) solely on its own behalf in all Incretin-Based Therapies pancreatic cancer cases in which it is named that are transferred to, removed to, or filed directly in this MDL pursuant to this Court's order establishing direct filing procedures. For such cases transferred to, removed to, or filed directly into the MDL Proceedings pursuant to the Court's direct filing Order, the Complaint and notice required under Rule 4(d) shall be provided to Amylin, Lilly, Merck and/or Novo by e-mailing the documents to each applicable defendant in that Complaint as follows:

- a. As to Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC:Amylin\_Service\_Incretin\_MDL@omm.com
- b. As to Eli Lilly and Company: byettamdlservice@pepperlaw.com
- c. As to Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.:

  JanuviaJanumetMDLComplaintService@wc.com

#### d. As to Novo Nordisk Inc.:

VictozaMDLComplaintService@dlapiper.com

General mailing to Eli Lilly & Company, Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. and or Novo Nordisk Inc., emailing to counsel for those defendants (except as provided above), or use of other methods of transmission (e.g., Federal Express or DHL) to those defendants or their counsel will not be sufficient to effect service.

This Order does not prevent any plaintiff from effecting service pursuant to any other method authorized under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Upon receipt of a Complaint served in accordance with this Order, and provided that plaintiff is a resident of the United States and has named the correct defendant entity or entities, the Defendants served shall execute a Waiver of Service of Summons, a form of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and file the waiver on the record by Electronic Case Filing.

A plaintiff who filed or files his/her complaint directly into the MDL Proceedings pursuant to the terms of this Order and effects service pursuant to the Service of Process section of this Order is not required to file a return of service with the Court.

#### IV. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS PRIOR TO TRANSFER FOR TRIAL.

In light of these Master Complaint/Master Answer procedures, the Court anticipates that amendments to complaints and answers may be required for cases considered for bellwether trials in this MDL proceeding and/or before cases are remanded to transferor courts, or for other good cause. The parties will meet and confer as to those issues, which may be addressed by further Order of this Court at the appropriate time.

///

///

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
2				
3	DATED:			
4		Honorable Ant United States I	hony J. Battaglia District Judge	
5			is uneveninge	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	DRUDUSED CAS	-5- F MANAGEMEI	Case No 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD NT ORDER NO	
	I KOI OBED CABE MANAGEMENT OKDEK NO			

# EXHIBIT A

-6- Case No 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. \_\_

### WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

2					
3	Pursuant to the Court's Order of, 2014 in <i>In re Incretin-Based</i>				
4	Therapies Products Liability Litigation, counsel for the undersigned defendant hereby				
5	acknowledges receipt of the Complaint and waives service of the summons under				
6	Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in the action of				
7	, which is case number				
8	in the United States District Court for the Southern District				
9	of California.				
10	The undersigned defendant hereby retains all defenses or objections to the				
11	lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a				
12	defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.				
13	In accordance with the Court's referenced above, the undersigned defendant's				
14	Answer to the Consolidated Master Form Complaint automatically serves as the				
15	Answer in this action, and no further Answer is required.				
16					
17	By: [Counsel Signature Block]				
18					
19	For: [Defendant Name]				
20					
21	Date:				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	-7- Case No 13-md-2452-AJB-MDI PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO				
	I KOI OBED CABE MANAGEMENT ONDER NO				

1 2 3 4	WILSON TURNER KOSMO LLP Vickie E. Turner (SBN 106431) 550 West C Street, Suite 1050 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 236-9600 Facsimile: (619) 236-9669 E-mail: vturner@wilsonturnerkosmo.com			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP Douglas R. Marvin Eva Petko Esber Paul E. Boehm M. Elaine Horn Jonathan L. Williams 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-5901 Telephone: (202) 434-5000 Facsimile: (202) 434-5029 E-mail: dmarvin@wc.com E-mail: eesber@wc.com E-mail: pboehm@wc.com E-mail: phoehm@wc.com E-mail: jonathanwilliams@wc.com			
13 14 15	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.  UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
16	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	FOR THE SOUTHERN DI			
18 19	In re: INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Case No. 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD  CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
20		Today II. Andhamy I Dattaglia		
21		Judge: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia Magistrate: Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	1			
	-1-	Case No. 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the January 17, 2014, a true and correct copy of the documents listed below have been E-filed with the Court and served on all counsel of record listed on CM/ECF.

- JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER REGARDING MASTER CONSOLIDATED ANSWERS AND SERVICE OF PROCESS; and
- PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. \_\_\_

I declare that I am employed by the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. Executed January 17, 2014, at San Diego, California.

Tara D. Thurston